



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/986,683	11/09/2001	Jody J. Shapiro	1968.0030000	5864
7590	05/02/2006		EXAMINER	
Frommer Lawrence & Haug LLP 745 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10151			ISMAIL, SHAWKI SAIF	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2155		
DATE MAILED: 05/02/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/986,683	SHAPIRO, JODY J.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shawki S. Ismail	2155	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 February 2006.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 47-65 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 47-65 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ .	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .

RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT

1. This communication is in response to the Request for Continued Examination (RCE) received on February 27, 2005.

Claims 47, 53, 57, 61, and 63 have been amended.

Claims 1-46 have been cancelled.

Claims 47-65 are pending further examination.

New Grounds of Rejection

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 47-65 received on February 27, 2005 have been fully considered but they are deemed to be moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 47-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Hegde et al., (Hegde) U.S. Patent No. 6,925,495** and in view of **Doty, Jr. U.S. Patent No. 6,795,863**.

Hegde teaches delivering on-demand content to requesting device by determining attributes of the requesting device in order to increase performance of the delivered content. The attributes include information relating to the operating system, media player, bandwidth parameters and the like.

Doty, Jr. teaches a system for distributing a plurality of different video data streams across a network to a plurality of client recipient computers. The system includes an encoder for encoding digitized data into a plurality of different video data stream formats and a smart server for determining when a client recipient computer accesses the e-mail system and the type of a video player residing on the client recipient computer. Once the determination has been made a cookie that contains client settings is set at the client device and an optimum video data stream format for the video player of the client recipient computer is distributed to the client recipient computer

5. As to claims 47, 53, 61 and 63, Hegde teaches a method of transferring requested media data over a network comprising:

receiving a request for media data from a client device (col. 9, lines 11-17, client device request content from Content Delivery Network (CDN));

sending a detection code to the client device (col. 10, lines 5-11, Server 605 sends code that is to be executed on the client device and used for determining basic attribute information of the client device);

detecting, at the client side, the media player information available on the client device by the detection code (col. 10, lines 5-11 and col. 10, lines 27-36, the code is used for determining basic attribute information which includes the type of player at the client device);

fetching the requested media data (col. 9, lines 17-35, CDN fetches the request media either from the CDN or from the origin server); and

transferring the requested media data suitable for the detected media player information to the client computer over the network (col. 9, lines 17-35 and col. 10, lines 34-37, the content is customized according to the attributes of the client device and delivered to the client device).

Hegde does not explicitly teach storing at the client sides the media player information in one or more cookies

Doty, Jr. teaches a system for distributing a plurality of different video data streams across a network to a plurality of client recipient computers. The system includes an encoder for encoding digitized data into a plurality of different video data stream formats and a smart server for determining when a client recipient computer accesses the e-mail system and the type of a video player residing on the client recipient computer. Once the determination has been made a cookie that contains client settings is set at the client device and an optimum video data stream format for the video player of the client recipient computer is distributed to the client recipient computer (col. 6, line 57 – col. 7, line 21) .

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to incorporate the teaching of Doty, Jr. into the invention of Hegde in order to be able to store information on a user's computer by a Web site so preferences are remembered on future requests, which will reduce processing time and increase efficiency in the overall client/server system.

6. As to claims 48, 54, 59, 62 and 65, Hegde teaches the method of claim 47, 53, 57, 61 and 63, respectively, where in the media player information includes one or more media player types available on the client device (col. 10, lines 27-36).
7. As to claims 49, 56, 60 and 64, Hegde teaches the method of claim 47, 53, 57 and 63, respectively, wherein the media player detection code comprises logic for a string search of mimetype (col. 10, lines 27-36, the code is used to detect what media player is available on the client device from among a plurality of media players).
8. As to claim 50, 55 and 58 Hegde teaches the method of claim 47, 53 and 57, respectively, further comprising storing the detected media player information on the client device (col. 12, lines 17-42).
9. As to claim 51 Hegde teaches the method of claim 47, further comprising conducting bandwidth measurement (col. 13, lines 12-20).
10. As to claim 52 Hegde teaches the method of claim 47, wherein the suitable media data is transcoded based on the detected media player information (col. 10, lines 30-36).

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered by they are deemed to be moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shawki S Ismail whose telephone number is 571-272-3985. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached at 571-272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shawki Ismail
Patent Examiner
April 28, 2006



SALEH NAJJAR
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER